INTERNSHIP PROPOSAL

(One page maximum) Laboratory name: Service de Physique de l'Etat Condense CNRS identification code: UMR3680 Internship director'surname: B. Dubrulle e-mail: berengere.dubrulle@cea.fr Phone number: 01 69 08 72 47 Web page: http://iramis.cea.fr/Pisp/berengere.dubrulle/ Internship location: SPEC, CEA Saclay 91190 Gif sur Yvette Cedex

Thesis possibility after internship: YES Funding: no

If YES, which type of funding:

CAN WE PREDICT THE WEATHER OR THE CLIMATE?

According to everyone's experience, predicting the weather reliably for more than a few days seems an impossible task for our best weather agencies. Yet, we all know of examples of "weather sayings" that allow wise old persons to predict tomorrow's weather without solving the equations of motion, and sometimes better than the official forecast. On a longer scale, climate model have been able to predict the variation of mean Earth temperature due to CO2 emission over a period of 50 year rather accurately.

How can we explain all these puzzling information?

In the late 50' and 60's, Lewis Fry Richardson, then Edward Lorenz set up the basis on the resolution of this puzzle, using observations, phenomenological arguments and low order models.

At first, it was thought that the predicibility problem lies in the difficulty of defining a meteorological chart with absolute precision. Then, due to chaos and sensitivity to initial condition of the governing fluid equations, any small departure from the true initial condition results in a large difference with the true weather state after a few days. Later, Lorenz realized that the main problem was more fundamental, and linked with the huge number of degrees of freedom of the geophysical fluids, combined with their singular nature in the inviscid limit, resulting in the famous "butterfly effect". This effect precludes the use of deterministic models to describe fluid motions, but it is conjectured that the statistical fluid organization is universal and possibly predictable, using relevent tools.

All these explanations were based on intuition and very rudimentary numerical simulations.

Present progress in mathematics, physics of turbulence, and observational data now allow to go beyond intuition, and test the validity of the butterfly effect in the atmosphere and climate. For this, we will use new theoretical and mathematical tools and new numerical simulations based on projection of equations of motion onto an exponential grid allowing to achieve realistic/geophysical values of parameters, at a moderate computational and storage cost.

The goal of this internship is to implement the new tools on real observations of weather maps, to try and detect the butterfly effect on real data. On a longer time scale (for a PhD), the goal will be to investigate the "statistical universality" hypothesis, to understand if and how the butterfly effect leads to universal statistics that can be used for climate predictions, and whether we can hope to build new "weather sayings" using machine learning, allowing to predict climate or weather without solving the equations.

Please, indicate which speciality(ies) seem(s) to be more adapted to the subject:

Condensed Matter Physics: YES	Macroscopic Physics and complexity:	YES
Quantum Physics: NO	Theoretical Physics:	YES